arXiv:1604.06471v1 [math-ph] 21 Apr 2016

NON-ARCHIMEDEAN REACTION-ULTRADIFFUSION EQUATIONS AND COMPLEX HIERARCHIC SYSTEMS

W. A. ZÚÑIGA-GALINDO

Abstract. We initiate the study of non-Archimedean reaction-ultradiffusion equations and their connections with models of complex hierarchic systems. From a mathematical perspective, the equations studied here are the p-adic counterpart of the integro-differential models for phase separation introduced by Bates and Chmaj. Our equations are also generalizations of the ultra-diffusion equations on trees studied in the 80's by Ogielski, Stein, Bachas, Huberman, among others, and also generalizations of the master equations of the Avetisov et al. models, which describe certain complex hierarchic systems. From a physical perspective, our equations are gradient flows of non-Archimedean free energy functionals and their solutions describe the macroscopic density profile of a bistable material whose space of states has an ultrametric structure. Some of our results are p-adic analogs of some well-known results in the Archimedean settting, however, the mechanism of diffusion is completely different due to the fact that it occurs in an ultrametric space.

1. Introduction

In the middle of the 80's the idea of using ultrametric spaces to describe the states of complex biological systems, which naturally possess a hierarchical structure, emerged in the works of H. Frauenfelder, G. Parisi, D. Stein, among others, see e.g. [19], [21], [28], [31]. A central paradigm in physics of complex systems (for instance proteins) asserts that the dynamics of such systems can be modeled as a random walk in the energy landscape of the system, see e.g. [21], [24], and the references therein. In protein physics, it is regarded as one of the most profound ideas put forward to explain the nature of distinctive life attributes. Typically these landscapes have a huge number of local minima. It is clear that a description of the dynamics on such landscapes require an adequate approximation. The interbasin kinetics offers an acceptable solution to this problem. By using this approach an energy landscape is approximated by an ultrametric space (a disconnectivity graph which is rooted tree) and a function on this space describing the distribution of the activation barriers, see e.g. [13]. After that, a model of hierarchical dynamics based on the ultrametric space is constructed, and by using the postulates of the interbasin kinetics one gets that the transitions between basins are described by the following equations:

$$\frac{\partial u(i,t)}{\partial t}=\sum_{j}T(j,i)\,u(j,t)\,v(j)-\sum_{j\neq i}T(i,j)\,u(i,t)\,v(j)\,, \tag{1.1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 80A22, 45K05; Secondary 46S10.

Key words and phrases. Phase separation, energy landscape, free-energy functionals, reaction-diffusion equations, ultrametricity, ultrametric spaces, complex systems, p-adic functional analysis.

The author was partially supported by Conacyt Grant No. 250845.

where the indices i,j number the states of the system (which correspond to local minima of energy), $T(i,j) \geq 0$ is the probability per unit time of a transition from i to j, and the $v(j) > 0$ are the basin volumes. At this point is relevant to mention that equations of type (1.1) are a generalization of the ultradiffusion equations on trees studied intensively in the 80's, see e.g. [10] and the references therein, and that these equations appeared in models of protein folding see e.g. [42].

The field of $p-$adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is defined as the completion of the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to the $p-$adic norm $|\cdot|_p$. The $p-$adic norm can be extended to $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ by taking for $x=(x_1,\cdots,x_n)$, $||x||_p := \max_i |x_i|_p$. This norm satisfies $||x+y||_p \leq \max\{||x||_p, ||y||_p\}$, and the metric space $\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n, ||\cdot||_p\right)$ is a complete ultrametric space. This space has a natural hierarchical structure, which is very useful in physical models involving hierarchies. As a topological space $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is homeomorphic to a Cantor-like subset of the real line, i.e. $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a fractal. Around 2000, V. Avetisov et al. discovered, among several things, that under suitable physical and mathematical hypotheses, the ultradiffusion equations on trees studied by Ogielski, Stain, Bachas and Huberman, among several others, see e.g. [10], have a 'continuous p-adic limit'. This fact is a consequence of the existence of p-adic parametrizations of the Parisi matrices. V. Avetisov et al. introduced a new class of models for complex hierarchic systems based on p-adic analysis, see [5]-[9]. The author believes that the novelty and relevance of the 'idealistic models' of Avetisov et al. come from two facts: first, they codify, in a mathematical language, the central physical paradigm asserting that the dynamics of (many) complex systems can be described as a random walk on an ultrametric space; second, these models give a description of the characteristic types of relaxation of complex systems. The p-adic limit of master equations (1.1) have the form:

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)[u(y,t)-u(x,t)]\,d^n y, \tag{1.2}$$

$x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n, t \geq 0$. The function $u(x,t) : \mathbb{Q}_p^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a probability density distribution, so that $\int_B u(x,t)\,d^n x$ is the probability of finding the system in a domain $B \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ at the instant t. The function $J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) : \mathbb{Q}_p^n \times \mathbb{Q}_p^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is the probability of the transition from state y to state x per unit of time. It is known that for many J's, equations of type (1.2) are ultradiffusion equations i.e. they are p-adic counterparts of the classical heat equations. More precisely, the fundamental solution of (1.2) is the transition density of a bounded right-continuous Markov process without second kind discontinuities, see e.g. [38], [23], [40], [41], [35], [36], [32]. The original models of Avetisov et al. were formulated in dimension one, more precisely, these models were constructed by using 'exactly one' cross section of an energy landscape. In [21, p. 98 and figures 11.3 and 11.4] Frauenfelder et al. have pointed out that using 'one' cross section of an energy landscape of a complex systems to describe its dynamics is misleading in several aspects, see also [36, Section 3]. By considering several trees and by using the above mentioned limit process, one gets n-dimensional p-adic master equations of type (1.2).

From a mathematical and physical perspectives, a natural step in the investigation of equations of types (1.1)-(1.2) is to introduce a reaction term, this article aims to initiate the theory of $n-$dimensional p-adic reaction-ultradiffusion equations and their connections with models of complex hierarchic systems. The terminology 'reaction-diffusion equations' has been in used in connection with the models of

Avetisov et al., see e.g. [14], to mean (linear) parabolic-type equations with variable coefficients. A general theory for this type of equations is given in [16], see also [23]. Here 'reaction-diffusion equations' means nonlinear equations as in the Euclidean case, see e.g. [20], [22], [33]. We use the term ultradiffusion instead of diffusion due to fact that in classical probability the term diffusion is used only in connection with stochastic processes with continuous paths, in the p-adic setting, the paths cannot be continuous. We study equations of type

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t}=\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)[u(y,t)-u(x,t)]\,d^n y+\lambda f(u(x,t)), \tag{1.3}$$

where $J\left(\|x\|_p\right)\geq 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J\left(\|x\|_p\right)d^n x=1$, $\lambda>0$ sufficiently large and f is (for instance) a polynomial having roots in -1, 0, 1. Formally, equation (1.3) is the L^2-gradient flow of the following non-Archimedean Helmholtz free-energy functional:

$$E[\varphi]=\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)\}^2\,d^n x d^n y+\lambda\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} W(\varphi(x))\,d^n x, \tag{1.4}$$

where φ is a function taking values in the interval $[-1,1]$ and W is a double-well potential. Equations of (1.4) can be well-approximated in finite dimensional real spaces by ODE's. In a suitable basis, where the unknown function is identified with the column vector $[u(\boldsymbol{i},t)]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}$, these equations have the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[u(\boldsymbol{i},t)]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}=-A^{(N)}[u(\boldsymbol{i},t)]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}-\lambda[f(u(\boldsymbol{i},t))]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $A^{(N)}$ is the matrix representation of a linear operator that approximates, in a suitable finite dimensional vector space, the integral operator involving the function J in the right-side of (1.3). Equation (1.5) is L^2-gradient flow of a 'finite' Helmholtz energy functional. In Section 5, we present some results about the convergence of Helmholtz functionals. Equations of type (1.5) are generalizations of ultradiffusion equations on trees considered in [10]. The set G_N^n is a finite ultrametric space, this class of spaces contains as particular case the finite rooted trees. This article is dedicated to study the interplay between all the above mentioned objects and their physical significance. We determine the spaces and conditions for which the Cauchy problems for equations (1.3)-(1.5) are well-posed, see Theorems 5, 6. We show that equations (1.3)-(1.5) have stationary solutions with 'arbitrary interfaces', this means, in the case of equation (1.3), the following. Given a ball $B_{N_0}^n(x_0)$ of radius p^{N_0} centered at x_0, $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ can be divided into three disjoint sets M, $B_{N_0}^n(x_0)\smallsetminus M$, and $\mathbb{Q}_p^n\smallsetminus B_{N_0}^n(x_0)$. Equation (1.3) admits a stationary solution $\widetilde{u}(x)$ satisfying $\alpha^+\leq\widetilde{u}(x)\leq 1$ for $x\in M$, $-1\leq\widetilde{u}(x)\leq\alpha^-$ for $x\in B_{N_0}^n(x_0)\smallsetminus M$, and $\lim_{\|x\|_p\to\infty}\widetilde{u}(x)=0$, for some suitable constants α^+, α^-, see Theorems 2, 3. We also show that the solution of Cauchy problem attached to (1.5) converges to the solution of the Cauchy problem attached to (1.3) in the case in which the initial condition for equation (1.5) is a continuous function taking values in the interval $[-1,1]$, see Theorem 7. Matrix $A^{(N)}$ in equation (1.5) is the Q-matrix of a finite homogeneous Markov chain with state space G_N^n, and equation (1.5) with $f=0$ is the Kolomogov backward equation attached to this Markov chain, see Theorem 1.

From a physical perspective equations (1.3)-(1.5) model phase separation of bistable materials whose space of states have an ultrametric structure. Our models

are the p-adic counterparts of the integro-differential models for phase separation due to Bates and Chmaj, see [12]-[11], and [2]-[3]. The function $u(x,t)$, respectively $[u(i,t)]_{i\in G_N^n}$, the order parameter, represents the macroscopic density profile of a material, which has two equilibrium states $u(x,t)\equiv -1$, $u(x,t)\equiv 1$, and $-1<u(x,t)<1$ represents the 'interface', and equations (1.3)-(1.5) model a transition between the equilibrium phases. Theorems 2, 3 show that our models of bistable system can develop arbitrary stable interfaces.

In the proofs of our results we have used freely techniques of abstract evolution equations, for instance [15], [29], and adapted techniques and ideas of the classical reaction-diffusion equations, for instance [22], [33]. However, the non-Archimedean theory is far from being a straightforward consequence of the classical theory of reaction-diffusion equations. For instance, the existence of traveling waves, that usually emerge in the transformation between the pure phases, is an open problem in the non-Archimedean case, since the classical ideas cannot be applied directly. On the other hand, the non-Archimedean comparison theorem needed here, see Theorem 4 and Corollary 2, require the condition that the 'volume of the system be sufficiently large'.

In a recent book by C. Dellacherie, S. Martinez and J. San Martin, see [18], the authors present a theory of 'ultrametric matrices' and their connections with Markov chains. As far as we understand, these ultrametric matrices are generalizations of the inverses of Parisi matrices. In particular, the results of this book imply that our Theorem 1 is valid for more general type of matrices. It is interesting to mention that A. Krennikov and S. Kozyrev developed a very general theory of Parisi-type matrices, see [25]-[27].

Finally, our Theorem 7 allows us to produce numerical simulations of the behavior of the bistable systems whose states are described by the solutions of our p-adic reaction-ultradiffusion equations. We prefer focus our article on mathematical aspects, however, our equations include as a particular case the reaction-diffusion equations on graphs studied by Ueyama and Hosoe in [37]. The numerical simulations presented in this article show that our p-adic reaction-ultradiffusion equations develop stable patterns such as occurs in the Archimedean case.

2. p-Adic Analysis: Essential Ideas

2.1. **The field of p-adic numbers.** Along this article p will denote a prime number. The field of $p-$adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is defined as the completion of the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to the $p-$adic norm $|\cdot|_p$, which is defined as

$$|x|_p=\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad x=0\\ p^{-\gamma} & \text{if} \quad x=p^{\gamma}\frac{a}{b}, \end{cases}$$

where a and b are integers coprime with p. The integer $\gamma:=ord(x)$, with $ord(0):=+\infty$, is called the *$p-$adic order of x*. We extend the $p-$adic norm to $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ by taking

$$||x||_p:=\max_{1\leq i\leq n}|x_i|_p, \qquad \text{for } x=(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n.$$

We define $ord(x)=\min_{1\leq i\leq n}\{ord(x_i)\}$, then $||x||_p=p^{-ord(x)}$. The metric space $\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n,||\cdot||_p\right)$ is a complete ultrametric space. As a topological space $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is homeomorphic to a Cantor-like subset of the real line, see e.g. [1], [38].

Any $p-$adic number $x\neq 0$ has a unique expansion of the form

$$x=p^{ord(x)}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}x_ip^j,$$

where $x_j\in\{0,1,2,\ldots,p-1\}$ and $x_0\neq 0$. In addition, any $p-$adic number $x\neq 0$ can be represented uniquely as $x=p^{ord(x)}ac\left(x\right)$ where $ac\left(x\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}x_ip^j$, $x_0\neq 0$, is called the *angular component* of x. Notice that $\left|ac\left(x\right)\right|_p=1$.

2.2. **Topology of $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$.** For $r\in\mathbb{Z}$, denote by $B_r^n(a)=\{x\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n;||x-a||_p\leq p^r\}$ *the ball of radius p^r with center at $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n$*, and take $B_r^n(0):=B_r^n$. Note that $B_r^n(a)=B_r(a_1)\times\cdots\times B_r(a_n)$, where $B_r^1(a_i):=B_r(a_i)=\{x\in\mathbb{Q}_p;|x_i-a_i|_p\leq p^r\}$ is the one-dimensional ball of radius p^r with center at $a_i\in\mathbb{Q}_p$. The ball B_0^n equals the product of n copies of $B_0=\mathbb{Z}_p$, *the ring of $p-$adic integers*. We also denote by $S_r^n(a)=\{x\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n;||x-a||_p=p^r\}$ *the sphere of radius p^r with center at $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n$*, and take $S_r^n(0):=S_r^n$. We notice that $S_0^1=\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ (the group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_p$), but $\left(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}\right)^n\subsetneq S_0^n$. The balls and spheres are both open and closed subsets in $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$. In addition, two balls in $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ are either disjoint or one is contained in the other.

As a topological space $\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n,||\cdot||_p\right)$ is totally disconnected, i.e. the only connected subsets of $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ are the empty set and the points. A subset of $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded in $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$, see e.g. [38, Section 1.3], or [1, Section 1.8]. The balls and spheres are compact subsets. Thus $\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n,||\cdot||_p\right)$ is a locally compact topological space.

We will use $\Omega\left(p^{-r}||x-a||_p\right)$ to denote the characteristic function of the ball $B_r^n(a)$. For more general sets, we will use the notation 1_A for the characteristic function of a set A.

2.3. **The Bruhat-Schwartz space.** A real-valued function φ defined on $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ is *called locally constant* if for any $x\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ there exist an integer $l(x)\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\varphi(x+x')=\varphi(x)\text{ for }x'\in B_{l(x)}^n. \tag{2.1}$$

A function $\varphi:\mathbb{Q}_p^n\to\mathbb{R}$ is called a *Bruhat-Schwartz function (or a test function)* if it is locally constant with compact support. Any test function can be represented as a linear combination, with real coefficients, of characteristic functions of balls. The $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of Bruhat-Schwartz functions is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. For $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$, the largest number $l=l(\varphi)$ satisfying (2.1) is called *the exponent of local constancy (or the parameter of constancy) of φ*. Let denote by $\mathcal{D}_N^l\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ (or simply $\mathcal{D}_N^l$), the finite dimensional subspace of all real-valued test functions having supports in B_N^n and with parameters of constancy $\geq l$. Then $\mathcal{D}_N^l\subset\mathcal{D}_{N'}^{l'}$ if $N'\geq N$ and $l\geq l'$.

If U is an open subset of $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$, $\mathcal{D}(U)$ denotes the space of test functions with supports contained in U, then $\mathcal{D}(U)$ is dense in

$$L^{\rho}\left(U\right)=\left\{\varphi:U\to\mathbb{R};\left(\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n}\left|\varphi\left(x\right)\right|^{\rho}d^nx\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}<\infty\right\},$$

where d^nx is the Haar measure on $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ normalized by the condition $vol(B_0^n)=1$, for $1\leq\rho<\infty$, see e.g. [1, Section 4.3]. For an in depth discussion about p-adic analysis the reader may consult [1], [23], [34], [38].

3. Some Functional Spaces and Operators

We define $X_\infty(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) := X_\infty = \overline{(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n), \|\cdot\|_\infty)}$, where $\|\phi\|_\infty = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n} |\phi(x)|$ and the bar means the completion with respect the metric induced by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. We also use $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ to denote the extension of $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ to X_∞. Notice that all the functions in X_∞ are continuous and that

$$X_\infty \subset C_0 := \left(\left\{ f : \mathbb{Q}_p^n \to \mathbb{R}; f \text{ continuous with } \lim_{\|x\|_p\to\infty} f(x) = 0 \right\}, \|\cdot\|_\infty \right).$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ is dense in C_0, cf. [34, Chap. II, Proposition 1.3], we conclude that $X_\infty = C_0$. In a more general case, if K is an open subset of $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$, we define $X_\infty(K) = \overline{(\mathcal{D}(K), \|\cdot\|_\infty)}$.

We set

$$X_N := \left(\mathcal{D}_N^{-N}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right), \|\cdot\|_\infty\right) \text{ for } N \geq 1.$$

Any $\varphi \in X_N$ has support in $B_N^n = \left(p^{-N}\mathbb{Z}_p\right)^n$, and φ satisfies (2.1) for $x' \in B_{-N}^n = \left(p^N\mathbb{Z}_p\right)^n$, in addition, $B_{\pm N}^n$ are additive subgroups and $G_N^n := B_N^n/B_{-N}^n$ is a finite group with $\#G_N^n := p^{2Nn}$ elements. Any element $\boldsymbol{i} = (\boldsymbol{i}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{i}_n)$ of G_N^n can be represented as

$$\boldsymbol{i}_j = a_{-N}^j p^{-N} + a_{-N+1}^j p^{-N+1} + \ldots + a_0^j + a_1^j p + \ldots + a_{N-1}^j p^{N-1} \tag{3.1}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, with $a_k^j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$. From now on, we fix a set of representatives in $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ for G_N^n of form (3.1). We denote by $\Omega\left(p^M \|x - x_0\|_p\right)$, the characteristic function of the ball $x_0 + \left(p^M\mathbb{Z}_p\right)^n$. We notice that any non-zero function φ in X_N has an index of local constancy $l_\varphi \in \{-N, -N+1, \ldots, 0, 1, \ldots, N\}$, and that $B_{l_\varphi}^n$ can be covered by a finite disjoint union of balls of the form $B_{-N}^n(\boldsymbol{j})$, with $\boldsymbol{j} \in G_N^n$, then $\left\{\Omega\left(p^N \|x - \boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)\right\}_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{D}_N^{-N}$, see also e.g. [1, Lemma 4.3.1]. We notice now that if $\varphi(x) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{i})\,\Omega\left(p^N \|x - \boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)$, with $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{i}} \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\|\varphi\|_\infty = \max_{\boldsymbol{i}} |\varphi_{\boldsymbol{i}}|$. Hence X_N is isomorphic as a Banach space to $\left(\mathbb{R}^{\#G_N^n}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$, where $\left\|(t_1, \ldots, t_{\#G_N^n})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}} = \max_{1\leq j\leq \#G_N^n} |t_j|$.

We now define for $N \geq 1$, $P_N : X_\infty \to X_N$ as

$$P_N\varphi(x) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{i})\,\Omega\left(p^N \|x - \boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right).$$

Therefore P_N is a linear bounded operator, indeed, $\|P_N\| \leq 1$.

Lemma 1. $\lim_{N\to\infty} \|\varphi - P_N\varphi\|_\infty = 0$ *for any* $\varphi \in X_\infty$.

Proof. By using the fact that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ is dense in X_∞, given any ϵ sufficiently small, there exists $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}_M^l\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$, with l, M integers depending on ϵ, such that $\|\varphi - \widetilde{\varphi}\|_\infty < \epsilon$. We may assume without loss of generality that $M \geq 1$ since $\mathcal{D}_M^l \subset \mathcal{D}_{M+1}^l$, and that $l < 0$ since if $l \geq 0$ then $\mathcal{D}_M^l \subset \mathcal{D}_M^{-l}$. Thus $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}_M^{-k}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ with M, $k \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{D}_M^{-k}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\max\{k,M\}}^{-\max\{k,M\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right) \subset \mathcal{D}_N^{-N}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ for $N \geq \max\{k, M\}$, i.e. $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}_N^{-N}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ for $N \geq \max\{k, M\}$, and $\widetilde{\varphi}(x) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} \widetilde{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{i})\,\Omega\left(p^M \|x - \boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right) = P_N\widetilde{\varphi}(x)$. Now

$$\|\varphi - P_N\varphi\|_\infty \leq \|\varphi - \widetilde{\varphi}\|_\infty + \|\widetilde{\varphi} - P_N\varphi\|_\infty \leq \epsilon + \|P_N\widetilde{\varphi} - P_N\varphi\|_\infty \leq 2\epsilon$$

for $N \geq \max\{k, M\}$, since $\|P_N\widetilde{\varphi} - P_N\varphi\|_\infty = \sup_{\boldsymbol{i}} |\widetilde{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{i}) - \varphi(\boldsymbol{i})| \leq \|\widetilde{\varphi} - \varphi\|_\infty < \epsilon$ for $N \geq \max\{k, M\}$. □

We denote by E_N , $N \geq 1$, the embedding $X_N \to X_\infty$. The following result is a consequence of the above observations. If Z, Y are real Banach spaces, we denote by $\mathfrak{B}(Z, Y)$, the space of all linear bounded operators from Z into Y.

Lemma 2 (Condition A)**.** *With the above notation, the following assertions hold:*
(i) X_∞, X_N for $N \geq 1$, are real Banach spaces, all with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$;
(ii) $P_N \in \mathfrak{B}(X_\infty, X_N)$ and $\|P_N\varphi\|_\infty \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$ for any $N \geq 1$, $\varphi \in X_\infty$;
(iii) $E_N \in \mathfrak{B}(X_N, X_\infty)$ and $\|E_N\varphi\|_\infty = \|\varphi\|_\infty$ for any $N \geq 1$, $\varphi \in X_N$;
(iv) $P_N E_N \varphi = \varphi$ for $N \geq 1$, $\varphi \in X_N$.

3.1. **The operators A_N, A.** Set $\mathbb{R}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}; x \geq 0\}$. We fix a continuous function $J : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, and take $J(x) = J(||x||_p)$ for $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$, then $J(x)$ is a *radial function* on $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$. In addition, we assume that $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J(||x||_p) d^n x = 1$.

Lemma 3. *The following assertions hold:*
(i) set $J_N(||x||_p) := J(||x||_p)\Omega\left(p^{-N}\|x\|_p\right)$ for $N \geq 1$. Then

$$J_N(||x||_p) * P_N\varphi(x) = \Omega\left(p^{-N}\|x\|_p\right)\{J(||x||_p) * P_N\varphi(x)\}$$

for $\varphi(x) \in X_\infty$;
(ii) define for $N \geq 1$,

$$\begin{array}{rccl} A_N: & X_N & \to & X_N \\ & \phi(x) & \to & -\int\limits_{B_N^n} J_N(||x-y||_p)\{\phi(y) - \phi(x)\} d^n y. \end{array}$$

Then A_N is a well-defined linear bounded operator.

Proof. (i) We recall that $B_N^n(0) = B_N^n$. Notice that

$$I(x) \quad := J_N(||x||_p) * P_N\varphi(x) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^{-N}\|x-y\|_p\right) P_N\varphi(y)\, d^n y$$

$$= \int\limits_{B_N^n(0)\cap B_N^n(x)} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) P_N\varphi(y)\, d^n y.$$

The calculation of the above integral involves two cases: (1) $B_N^n(0) \cap B_N^n(x) \neq \varnothing$; (2) $B_N^n(0) \cap B_N^n(x) = \varnothing$. In the first case, since the radii of the balls are the same, $B_N^n(0) = B_N^n(x)$ and thus $x \in B_N^n(0)$ which implies that $\|x\|_p \leq p^N$, in addition, $\operatorname{supp} I(x) \subset B_N^n(0)$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} I(x) &= \Omega\left(p^{-N}\|x\|_p\right) \int\limits_{B_N^n(0)} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) P_N\varphi(y)\, d^n y \\ &= \Omega\left(p^{-N}\|x\|_p\right) \int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) P_N\varphi(y)\, d^n y. \end{aligned}$$

In the second case, $x \notin B_N^n(0)$ this implies that $\|x\|_p > p^{-N}$, and $I(x) = 0$.

(ii) Notice that

$$A_N\phi(x) = -\left\{J_N(||x||_p) * \phi(x) - j_N\phi(x)\right\}, \text{ with } j_N := \int_{B_N^n} J(||y||_p)d^n y,$$

$0 \leq j_N \leq 1$ and

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} j_N = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

From this fact and part (i) the operator is well-defined. Indeed, for $\phi \in X_N$, supp $(J_N(||x||_p) * \phi(x)) \subset B_N^n$ and the index of local constancy of $J_N(||x||_p) * \phi(x)$ equals the index of local constancy of ϕ. The continuity follows from the Young inequality:

$$|A_N\phi(x)| \leq \|J_N(||x||_p)\|_{L^1} \|\phi(x)\|_\infty + j_N \|\phi(x)\|_\infty \leq 2\|\phi(x)\|_\infty.$$

□

Now, we define

$$\begin{array}{rccl} A: & X_\infty & \to & X_\infty \\ & \varphi(x) & \to & A\varphi(x) = -\left\{J\left(\|x\|_p\right) * \varphi(x) - \varphi(x)\right\}. \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 1. *Notice that* $A\varphi(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\{\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)\}d^n y$ *since* $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)d^n y = 1$.

Lemma 4. *The operator* $A : X_\infty \to X_\infty$ *is a linear and bounded. In addition, the spectrum of* A, $\sigma(A)$, *is contained in the interval* $[0,2]$.

Proof. By the Young inequality, $A \in \mathfrak{B}(X_\infty, L^\infty)$. Now, by construction $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ is dense in $X_\infty\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, then in order to show that A is densely defined and continuous, from X_∞ into itself, it is sufficient to show that $A\varphi \in X_\infty$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_N^{-N} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. Thus, we have to show that $A\varphi \in X_\infty$ for $\varphi \in X_N$. By Lemma 3, $\Omega\left(p^{-N}\|x\|_p\right)A\varphi = A_N\varphi \in X_N$, now we show that $A_N\varphi \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\infty} A\varphi$ for $\varphi \in X_N$. In order to achieve this, by Lemma 1, it is sufficient to show that $J_N\left(\|x\|_p\right) * \varphi(x) \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\infty} J\left(\|x\|_p\right) * \varphi(x)$ for $\varphi \in X_N$. Indeed,

$$\left\|\left\{J\left(\|x\|_p\right) - J_N\left(\|x\|_p\right)\right\} * \varphi(x)\right\|_\infty \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \left|J\left(\|y\|_p\right) - J_N\left(\|y\|_p\right)\right| d^n y.$$

This last integral tends to zero as N tends to infinity by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, recall that $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J_N\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^n y \leq \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^n y = 1$.

The comment about the spectrum of A follows from the following observation: the equation $A\varphi = \lambda\varphi$ is equivalent to $J * \varphi = (1-\lambda)\varphi$ and since $\|J * \cdot\| \leq 1$ we have $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2$. □

4. The Matrix Representation of operators A_N and Markov Chains

By using the basis $\left\{\Omega\left(p^N\|x - \boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)\right\}_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}$ we identify X_N with $\left(\mathbb{R}^{\#G_N^n}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$, thus operator A_N is given by a matrix. This matrix is computed by means of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5. *Set* $\mathfrak{a}(x,\boldsymbol{i}):=J_N\left(\|x\|_p\right)*\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)$ *for* $x\in B_N^n$, $\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n$. *Let* $\widetilde{x}$ *denote the image of* x *under the canonical map* $B_N^n\to G_N^n$. *Then*

$$\mathfrak{a}(x,\boldsymbol{i})=\mathfrak{a}(\widetilde{x},\boldsymbol{i})=\begin{cases} p^{-Nn}J\left(p^{-ord(\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i})}\right) & \text{if} \quad ord(\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i})\neq+\infty \\ \\ \int\limits_{(p^N\mathbb{Z}_p)^n} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^ny & \text{if} \quad ord(\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i})=+\infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first notice that since $\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}+\left(p^N\mathbb{Z}_p\right)^n\subset B_N^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{a}(x,\boldsymbol{i}) &= \int\limits_{x-\boldsymbol{i}+(p^N\mathbb{Z}_p)^n} J_N\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^ny=\int\limits_{\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}+(p^N\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\ n}} J_N\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^ny \\ &= \int\limits_{\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}+(p^N\mathbb{Z}_p)^n} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^ny=J\left(\|\widetilde{x}\|_p\right)*\Omega\left(p^N\|\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right) \\ &= \int\limits_{(p^N\mathbb{Z}_p)^n} J\left(\|\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}-y\|_p\right)d^ny. \qquad (4.1)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $ord(G_N^n)=\{-N,-N+1,\ldots,0,1,\ldots,N-1,+\infty\}$ and $ord(y)\geq N$ for $y\in\left(p^N\mathbb{Z}_p\right)^n$, then $\|\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}-y\|_p=\|\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p$ if and only if $ord\left(\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}\right)\neq+\infty$. Finally, the announced formula follows by this remark and (4.1). □

Remark 2. *Notice that* $\mathfrak{a}(\widetilde{x},\boldsymbol{i})=\mathfrak{a}(\boldsymbol{i},\widetilde{x})=\mathfrak{a}\left(\|\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)$, *where* $\mathfrak{a}\left(\|\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)$ *means that there exists a function* $g:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}$ *such that* $\mathfrak{a}(\boldsymbol{i},\widetilde{x})=g\left(\|\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)$, *i.e.* $\mathfrak{a}(\boldsymbol{i},\widetilde{x})$ *is a radial function of* $\widetilde{x}-\boldsymbol{i}$.

Lemma 6. *The matrix for operator* A_N *acting on* X_N *is* $A^{(N)}=\left[A_{\boldsymbol{ki}}^{(N)}\right]_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}=[j_N\delta_{\boldsymbol{ki}}-\mathfrak{a}_{\boldsymbol{ki}}]_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}$, *where* $\mathfrak{a}_{\boldsymbol{ki}}:=\mathfrak{a}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{i})$ *and* $\delta_{\boldsymbol{ki}}$ *denotes the Kronecker delta.*

Proof. Notice that $\left\{\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)\right\}_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}$ is an orthogonal basis of X_N under the usual inner product of real-valued functions, which is denoted as $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, since

$$\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{j}\|_p\right)\equiv 0 \text{ if } \boldsymbol{i}\neq\boldsymbol{j}.$$

Take $\varphi(x)=\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})\,\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)$, then

$$J_N\left(\|x\|_p\right)*\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)=\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in G_N^n}d_{\boldsymbol{ki}}\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{k}\|_p\right),$$

with $d_{\boldsymbol{ki}}\in\mathbb{R}$, cf. Lemma 3, and

$$A_N\varphi(x)=\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in G_N^n}\left\{\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}(j_N\delta_{\boldsymbol{ki}}-d_{\boldsymbol{ki}})\,\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})\right\}\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{k}\|_p\right).$$

Hence $[j_N\delta_{\boldsymbol{ki}}-d_{\boldsymbol{ki}}]_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}$ is the matrix representation for operator A_N acting on X_N. To compute the coefficients $d_{\boldsymbol{ki}}$ we proceed as follows. By using that

$$\Omega\left(p^N\|y-\boldsymbol{j}\|_p\right)*\Omega\left(p^N\|y-\boldsymbol{l}\|_p\right)=p^{-Nn}\Omega\left(p^N\|y-(\boldsymbol{j}+\boldsymbol{l})\|_p\right),$$

we have

$$d_{\boldsymbol{ki}} = P^{Nn}\left\langle J_N\left(\|x\|_p\right) * \Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right), \Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{k}\|_p\right)\right\rangle$$
$$= p^{Nn}\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n}\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J_N\left(\|y\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}-y\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{k}\|_p\right)d^n y d^n x$$
$$= p^{Nn}\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J_N\left(\|y\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^N\|y+\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right) * \Omega\left(p^N\|y-\boldsymbol{k}\|_p\right)d^n y$$
$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J_N\left(\|y\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^N\|y-(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{i})\|_p\right)d^n y = \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{i}+(p^N\mathbb{Z}_p)^n} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^n y$$
$$= \mathfrak{a}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{i}), \tag{4.2}$$

cf. Lemma 5 . □

Lemma 7. *$-A^{(N)}$ is a Q-matrix, i.e. $-A_{\boldsymbol{ij}}^{(N)} \geq 0$ for $\boldsymbol{i}\neq\boldsymbol{j}$ with $\boldsymbol{i}$, $\boldsymbol{j}\in G_N^n$, and $A_{\boldsymbol{ii}}^{(N)} = -\sum_{\boldsymbol{j}\neq\boldsymbol{i}} A_{\boldsymbol{ij}}^{(N)}$.*

Proof. We first notice that

$$j_N - \mathfrak{a}_{\boldsymbol{ii}} = \int\limits_{B_N^n} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^n y - \int\limits_{B_{-N}^n} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^n y \geq 0,$$

cf. Lemmas 6, 5. Now, $A_{\boldsymbol{ij}}^{(N)} = -\mathfrak{a}_{\boldsymbol{ij}}$ for $\boldsymbol{j}\neq\boldsymbol{i}$ with $\mathfrak{a}_{\boldsymbol{ij}}\geq 0$, and by using that G_N^n is an additive group, and $B_N^n = \coprod_{\boldsymbol{k}\in G_N^n} B_{-N}^n(\boldsymbol{k})$,

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{j}\neq\boldsymbol{i}}\mathfrak{a}_{\boldsymbol{ij}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{j}\neq\boldsymbol{i}}\int\limits_{B_{-N}^n(\boldsymbol{j}-\boldsymbol{i})} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^n y = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\neq\boldsymbol{0}}\int\limits_{B_{-N}^n(\boldsymbol{k})} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^n y$$
$$= \int\limits_{B_N^n} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^n y - \int\limits_{B_{-N}^n} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^n y = j_N - \mathfrak{a}_{\boldsymbol{ii}},$$

i.e. $A_{\boldsymbol{ii}}^{(N)} = -\sum_{\boldsymbol{j}\neq\boldsymbol{i}} A_{\boldsymbol{ij}}^{(N)}$. □

A real matrix A is called *nonnegative* if each of its entries is greater than or equal to zero, in this case we use the notation $A\geq\mathbf{0}$. Similarly, we say that a real matrix is *nonpositive* if each of its entries is less than or equal to zero, in this case we use the notation $A\leq\mathbf{0}$. We denote by $\mathbb{E}$ the identity matrix and by $\mathbf{1}$ the unit vector which is a vector having all its entries equal to one.

Theorem 1. *(i) Set $P^{(N)}(t) := e^{-tA^{(N)}}$, $t\geq 0$. Then $P^{(N)}(t)$ is a semigroup of nonnegative matrices with $P^{(N)}(0)=\mathbb{E}$, the identity matrix, which satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial P^{(N)}(t)}{\partial t} + A^{(N)}P^{(N)}(t) = 0$$

and $P^{(N)}(t)\,\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ for $t\geq 0$.
(ii) The function $P^{(N)}(t-s)$, $t\geq s\geq 0$, is the transition function of a homogeneous Markov chain with state space G_N^n. Furthermore, this stochastic process has right-continuous piece-wise-constant paths.

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 7 by using well-known results about Markov chains, see e.g. [39, Theorem 2.5]. □

5. Non-Archimedean Helmholtz Free-Energy Functionals

We define for $\varphi \in X_N$, $\lambda > 0$,

(5.1)
$$E_N(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_N^n} \int_{B_N^n} J_N\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) \{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\}^2 d^n x d^n y + \lambda \int_{B_N^n} W(\varphi(x))\, d^n x,$$

where $J_N\left(\|x\|_p\right)$ is as before, φ is a scalar density function defined on B_N^n that takes values in $[-1,1]$, $W : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, with derivative $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, is a double-well potential having (not necessarily equal) minima at ± 1. The functional $E_N(\varphi)$ is a non-Archimedean version of a non-local Helmholtz free-energy functional. The function φ, the order parameter, represents the macroscopic density profile of a system which has two equilibrium pure phases described by the profiles $\varphi \equiv 1$ and $\varphi \equiv -1$, and $-1 < \varphi < 1$ represents the 'interface'. The function J_N is a positive, possibly anisotropic, interaction potential which vanishes at infinity. If φ is an energy minimizing configuration, the second term in E_N forces the minimizer φ to take values close the pure states, while the first term in E_N represents an interaction energy which penalizes the spatial inhomogenety of φ.

In the classical Archimedean setting (i.e. $\mathbb{R}^n$), the L^2-gradient of functionals of type (5.1) lead to the non-local versions of Allen-Cahn equations, see [2]-[3], [12] The next result shows that a similar situation happens in the non-Archimedean setting.

Lemma 8. *(i) By identifying $\varphi(x)$ with the vector $[\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}$, i.e. by identifying X_N with $\mathbb{R}^{\#G_N^n}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} E_N\left([\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}\right) &= \frac{j_N p^{-Nn}}{2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} \varphi^2(\boldsymbol{i}) - \frac{p^{-Nn}}{2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}\in G_N^n} \mathfrak{a}_{\boldsymbol{ij}} \varphi(\boldsymbol{i})\varphi(\boldsymbol{j}) \\ &\quad + \lambda p^{-Nn} \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} W(\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})), \end{aligned}$$

where $[\mathfrak{a}_{\boldsymbol{ij}}]_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}\in G_N^n}$ is the matrix defined in Lemma 5.
(ii) We assume that φ depends on $\boldsymbol{i} \in G_N^n$ and $t \geq 0$. The gradient flow in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{\#G_N^n}$ of the functional $E_N : \mathbb{R}^{\#G_N^n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the evolution in $\mathbb{R}^{\#G_N^n}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\varphi(\boldsymbol{i},t)]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} &= -\nabla E_N\left([\varphi(\boldsymbol{i},t)]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}\right) \\ &= -p^{-Nn} A^{(N)} [\varphi(\boldsymbol{i},t)]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} - \lambda p^{-Nn} [f(\varphi(\boldsymbol{i},t))]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $A^{(N)}$ is the matrix defined in Lemma 6.

Remark 3. *Notice that in X_N, (5.2) can be written as*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi(x,t) = -A_N \varphi(x,t) - \lambda f(\varphi(x,t)). \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. (i) By using that $\varphi^2(x) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} \varphi^2(\boldsymbol{i})\,\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)$, $\varphi(x)\,\varphi(y) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}\in G_N^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{i})\,\varphi(\boldsymbol{j})\,\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^N\|y-\boldsymbol{j}\|_p\right)$, we have

$$E_N\left([\varphi_{\boldsymbol{i}}]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}\varphi^2(\boldsymbol{i})\int_{B_N^n}\int_{B_N^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)d^nxd^ny$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}\in G_N^n}\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})\,\varphi(\boldsymbol{j})\int_{B_N^n}\int_{B_N^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^N\|y-\boldsymbol{j}\|_p\right)d^nxd^ny$$
$$+\lambda\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} W(\varphi(\boldsymbol{i}))\int_{B_N^n}\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)d^nx.$$

The announced formula follows from the following observations:

$$\int_{B_N^n}\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)\left\{\int_{B_N^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)d^ny\right\}d^nx$$
$$= \int_{B_N^n}\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)\left\{\int_{B_N^n} J\left(\|z\|_p\right)d^nz\right\}d^nx = p^{-Nn}j_N,$$

and

$$\int_{B_N^n}\int_{B_N^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)\Omega\left(p^N\|y-\boldsymbol{j}\|_p\right)d^nxd^ny$$
$$= \left\langle J\left(\|x\|_p\right) * \Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right), \Omega\left(p^N\|x-\boldsymbol{j}\|_p\right)\right\rangle = p^{-Nn}\mathfrak{a}_{\boldsymbol{ij}},$$

see (4.2).

(ii) By using the first part, with $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ denoting the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{\#G_N^n}$, the directional derivative (i.e. functional derivative) of E_N is given by

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{E_N\left([\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})+\epsilon\theta(\boldsymbol{i})]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}\right)-E_N\left([\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}\right)}{\epsilon}$$
$$= j_Np^{-Nn}\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}}\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})\,\theta(\boldsymbol{i}) - p^{-Nn}\sum_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}}\mathfrak{a}_{\boldsymbol{ij}}\varphi(\boldsymbol{j})\,\theta(\boldsymbol{i}) + \lambda p^{-Nn}\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}} f(\varphi(\boldsymbol{i}))\,\theta(\boldsymbol{i})$$
$$= p^{-Nn}\left\langle A^{(N)}[\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} + \lambda[f(\varphi(\boldsymbol{i}))]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}, [\theta(\boldsymbol{i})]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}},$$

i.e. $\nabla E_N\left([\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}\right) = p^{-Nn}\left(A^{(N)}[\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} + \lambda[f(\varphi(\boldsymbol{i}))]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}\right)$ where ∇g denotes the standard gradient vector in $\mathbb{R}^{\#G_N^n}$. On the other hand, in the space X_N, we have $\nabla E_N(\varphi) = A_N\varphi + \lambda f(\varphi)$ since

$$p^{-Nn}\left\langle A^{(N)}[\varphi(\boldsymbol{i})]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} + \lambda[f(\varphi(\boldsymbol{i}))]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}, [\theta(\boldsymbol{i})]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n}\{A_N\varphi(x)+\lambda f(\varphi(x))\}\,\theta(x)\,d^nx$$

in X_N. □

Consider $\left(G_N^n, \|\cdot\|_p\right)$ as a finite ultrametric space. Then (5.2) is reaction-ultradiffusion equation in $\left(G_N^n, \|\cdot\|_p\right)$, which is the L^2-gradient of an energy functional defined on $\left(G_N^n, \|\cdot\|_p\right)$. These equations are generalizations of the ultradiffusion equations studied in [30], [10]. In this article we initiate the study of these equations and their 'limits' as N tends to infinity. The limit of some ultradiffusion equations of type (5.2) with $f \equiv 0$ was considered by Avetisov et al. in [9] when matrix $A^{(N)}$ comes from a Parisi type matrix. More precisely, in the special case $f \equiv 0$ in [9] was established, by a physical argument involving the parametrization of Parisi matrices by p-adic numbers, that the 'limit' of an equation of type (5.2) as N tends to infinity is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi(x,t) = -A\varphi(x,t) - \lambda f(\varphi(x,t)),\ x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n,\ t \geq 0. \tag{5.4}$$

In this article we show, from a mathematical perspective, that the solutions of the Cauchy problem attached to equation (5.3) converge to the solutions of the Cauchy problem attached to equation (5.4), see Theorem 7, in the case that $f \in C^2$ with three zeros at -1, 0, 1. Equation (5.4) is formally the L^2-gradient of the following energy functional:

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)\}^2 d^n x d^n y + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} W(\varphi(x))\, d^n x$$

where φ is a scalar density function defined on $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ that takes values in $[-1,1]$, W is a double-well potential having minima at ± 1 as before. At the moment, we do not know if $E(\varphi)$ can be well approximated by $E_N(P_N\varphi)$ for $\varphi \in X_\infty$. On the other hand, if supp $J \subset K$, open and compact, with $K \subset B_{N_0}^n$, and $W' = f$ is continuous, then for any $\varphi \in X_\infty(K)$, and $N \geq N_0$, the functional

$$\begin{aligned} E_N(P_N\varphi) &= \frac{1}{4}\int_K\int_K J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\{P_N\varphi(x)-P_N\varphi(y)\}^2 d^n x d^n y \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_K W(P_N\varphi(x))\, d^n x \end{aligned}$$

tends to

$$\frac{1}{4}\int_K\int_K J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)\}^2 d^n x d^n y + \lambda \int_K W(\varphi(x))\, d^n x$$

as N tends to infinity. The verification of this assertion follows directly from the Dominated Convergence Theorem and the fact that $P_N\varphi \xrightarrow{\|.\|_\infty} \varphi$.

6. Stationary Solutions

We take $J(x) = J(||x||_p)$ for $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ as in Section 3.1. We fix a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ having the following properties:

(H1) $$f \in C^2(\mathbb{R});$$

(H2) $$f \text{ has exactly three zeros at } -1,\ 0,\ 1;$$

(H3) $$f'(-1) > 0,\ f'(0) < 0,\ f'(1) > 0;$$

(H4) the function $g(u) := u + \lambda f(u)$ has three zeros and exactly three intervals of monotonicity for any sufficiently large $\lambda > 0$. We denote by u_λ^-, u_λ^+, the extreme roots of $g(u) = 0$, then $u_\lambda^- < u_\lambda^+$, $u_\lambda^- > -1$, $u_\lambda^+ < 1$, and $u_\lambda^\pm \to \pm 1$ as $\lambda \to \infty$.

The following technical conditions always hold under Hypotheses (H1)-(H4) by taking λ sufficiently large:
(C5) We take $\alpha^- \in (-1,0)$, $\alpha^+ \in (0,1)$ such that $f'(u) \geq \delta > 0$ for u in $[-1,\alpha^-] \cup [\alpha^+,1]$. In addition, we assume that α^+, α^- satisfy $u_\lambda^- < \alpha^- < 0 < \alpha^+ < u_\lambda^+$;

$$\left(1+\alpha^+\right) + \lambda f\left(\alpha^+\right) \leq 0; \tag{C6}$$

$$\alpha^- + \lambda f\left(\alpha^-\right) \geq 0. \tag{C7}$$

Notice that conditions C6-C7 hold if $\lambda \geq \max\left\{\frac{-\alpha^-}{f(\alpha^-)}, \frac{1+\alpha^+}{-f(\alpha^+)}\right\}$.

Remark 4. *Notice that polynomial $u^3 - u$ satisfies hypotheses H1-H4. If $f(u) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $f''(u)$ has exactly a zero $\beta \in (-1,1)$ and $f''(u)$ is positive to the right of β and negative to the left of β, then $g(u) = u + \lambda f(u)$ satisfies Hypothesis H4.*

Theorem 2. *Assume that f satisfies hypotheses (H1)-(H4). Then for any measurable subset $M \subset B_{N_0}^n$ and for λ sufficiently large, the equation*

$$\begin{cases} u \in X_\infty \\ Au(x) + \lambda f(u(x)) = 0,\ x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n, \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

has a unique solution $\widetilde{u}$ satisfying

$$\alpha^+ \leq \widetilde{u}(x) \leq 1 \text{ for } x \in M \text{ and } -1 \leq \widetilde{u}(x) \leq \alpha^- \text{ for } x \in B_{N_0}^n \setminus M. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. We define

$$Y := \left\{u \in X_\infty; u(x) \in \left[\alpha^+,1\right] \text{ for } x \in M \text{ and } u(x) \in \left[-1,\alpha^-\right] \text{ for } x \in B_{N_0}^n \setminus M\right\}$$

and the mapping $T : Y \to X_\infty$ as

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(x) &= u(x) - h\left\{Au(x) + \lambda f(u(x))\right\} \\ &= (1-h)u(x) + hJ\left(\|x\|_p\right) * u(x) - h\lambda f(u(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for $h > 0$. By Lemma 4, $A : Y \to X_\infty$ is well-defined operator, on the other hand, $u \to f(u)$ is also a well-defined mapping from Y into X_∞ because $f(u)$ is a continuous function satisfying $\lim_{\|x\|_p \to \infty} f(u(x)) = 0$, here we use that $f(0) = 0$.

We show that for h sufficiently small T is a contraction from Y into itself, and since Y is a Banach space, T has a unique fixed point $\widetilde{u}$ which is the desired solution.

Claim 1. For h sufficiently small, if $u(x) \in [-1,\alpha^-] \cup [\alpha^+,1]$, then $-1 \leq Tu(x) \leq 1$.

Indeed, since $f'(u)$ is non-negative and continuous on $[-1,\alpha^-] \cup [\alpha^+,1]$, we can pick h sufficiently small to get

$$h\lambda f'(u) < 1 - h \text{ for } u \in \left[-1,\alpha^-\right] \cup \left[\alpha^+,1\right]. \tag{6.3}$$

Notice that (6.3) holds if $0 < h < \frac{1}{1+\lambda \max_{u \in [-1,\alpha^-] \cup [\alpha^+,1]} f'(u)}$.

From (6.3) with $u(x) \in [\alpha^+,1]$, we get $h\lambda \int_u^1 f'(u)\,du \leq (1-h)\int_u^1 du$, which implies that $(1-h)u - h\lambda f(u) \leq 1-h$, and thus $Tu(x) \leq (1-h) + hJ\left(\|x\|_p\right) * u(x) \leq 1$, since

$$\left|J\left(\|x\|_p\right) * u(x)\right| \leq \|J\|_{L^1} \|u\|_\infty \leq 1. \tag{6.4}$$

In the case in which $u(x) \in [-1, \alpha^-]$, we get from (6.3) that $h\lambda \int_{-1}^{u} f'(u)\, du \leq (1-h)\int_{-1}^{u} du$, which implies that $(1-h)\, u - h\lambda f(u) \geq -1 + h \geq -1$, and thus $Tu(x) \geq -1 + hJ\left(\|x\|_p\right) * u(x) \geq -1$, by using (6.4).

Claim 2. $T : Y \to Y$.

Case $u(x) \in [\alpha^+, 1]$ for $x \in M$.

By using that $u - h\{u + \lambda f(u)\}$ is increasing on $[\alpha^+, 1]$, we have

$$Tu(x) \geq \alpha^+ - h\alpha^+ - h\lambda f\left(\alpha^+\right) + hJ\left(\|x\|_p\right) * u(x)$$

$$\geq \alpha^+ + h\left\{\alpha^+ \int_M J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) d^n y - \alpha^+ - \lambda f\left(\alpha^+\right)\right\}$$

$$\geq \alpha^+ + h\left\{\alpha^+ \int_M J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) d^n y - \alpha^+ - \lambda f\left(\alpha^+\right) - \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n \setminus M} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) d^n y\right\}$$

$$= \alpha^+ - h\left\{\left(1+\alpha^+\right) \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n \setminus M} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) d^n y + \lambda f\left(\alpha^+\right)\right\} \geq$$

$$\alpha^+ - h\left\{\left(1+\alpha^+\right) + \lambda f\left(\alpha^+\right)\right\},$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n \setminus M} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) d^n y \leq 1$. Now, by Condition C6 and Claim 1, $\alpha^+ \leq Tu(x) \leq 1$ for $x \in M$.

Case $u(x) \in [-1, \alpha^-]$ for $x \in B_{N_0}^n \setminus M$.

By using that $u - h\{u + \lambda f(u)\}$ is increasing on $[-1, \alpha^-]$, cf. (6.3),

$$Tu(x) \leq \alpha^- - h\alpha^- - h\lambda f\left(\alpha^-\right) + hJ\left(\|x\|_p\right) * 1_{B_{N_0}^n \setminus M}(x)\, u(x)$$

$$\leq \alpha^- - h\left\{\alpha^- - \alpha^- \int_{B_{N_0}^n \setminus M} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) d^n y + \lambda f\left(\alpha^-\right)\right\}$$

$$\leq \alpha^- - h\left\{\alpha^- + \lambda f\left(\alpha^-\right)\right\},$$

since $h\alpha^- \int_{B_{N_0}^n \setminus M} J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right) d^n y \leq 0$. Now by using Condition C7 and Claim 1, $-1 \leq Tu(x) \leq \alpha^-$ for $x \in B_{N_0}^n \setminus M$.

Claim 3. $T : Y \to Y$ is a contraction for h sufficiently small.

Take u, v in X, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu - Tv\|_\infty &= \|(1-h)(u-v) - h\lambda\{f(u) - f(v)\} + hJ * (u-v)\|_\infty \\ &= \|(1 - h - h\lambda f'(w))(u-v) + hJ * (u-v)\|_\infty \\ &\leq |1 - h - h\lambda f'(w)|\, \|u-v\|_\infty + h\|u-v\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

with $w = au + (1-a)v$ for some $0 < a < 1$. By (6.3), $1 - h - h\lambda f'(w) > 0$ for h sufficiently small, and $1 - h - h\lambda f'(w) \leq 1$ because $-h(1 + \lambda f'(w)) \leq 0$ given that $\lambda f'(w) \geq \lambda\delta > 0$, cf. Condition C5. Hence

$$\|Tu - Tv\|_\infty \leq (1 - h - h\lambda f'(w))\, \|u-v\|_\infty \leq \|u-v\|_\infty.$$

By using the fact that Y is a Banach space we conclude that equation (6.1) has a unique solution $\widetilde{u}$ satisfying (6.2). Now, from Hypothesis H4 and using that $\widetilde{u}=g_i^{-1}\left(J*\widetilde{u}\right)$, $i=1,2$, where g_i^{-1} is one of the extreme branches of g^{-1}, and the fact that $J*\widetilde{u}$ is a continuous function (by the Dominated Convergence Theorem), we conclude that $\widetilde{u}$ is a continuous function. □

Remark 5. *In Theorem 2, ball $B_{N_0}^n$ can be replaced by a compact subset, however, for the sake of simplicity we use a ball centered at the origin.*

Theorem 3. *Fix $N_0\geq 1$ and assume that supp $J\left(\|x\|_p\right)\subset B_{N_0}^n$, and that f satisfies hypotheses (H1)-(H4).Then for any open and compact subset M contained in $B_{N_0}^n$ and for λ sufficiently large, the equation*

$$\begin{cases} u\in X_{N_0} \\ A_{N_0}u\left(x\right)+\lambda f\left(u\left(x\right)\right)=0,\ x\in B_{N_0}^n, \end{cases} \tag{6.5}$$

has a unique solution $\widetilde{u}$ satisfying

$$\alpha^+\leq\widetilde{u}\left(x\right)\leq 1 \text{ for } x\in M \text{ and } -1\leq\widetilde{u}\left(x\right)\leq\alpha^- \text{ for } x\in B_{N_0}^n\smallsetminus M. \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. Due to the fact that X_{N_0} is a subspace of X_∞ the proof of this result is completely similar to the one given for Theorem 2. We define

$$Y_{N_0}:=\left\{u\in X_{N_0};u\left(x\right)\in\left[\alpha^+,1\right] \text{ for } x\in M \text{ and } u\left(x\right)\in\left[-1,\alpha^-\right] \text{ for } x\in B_{N_0}^n\smallsetminus M\right\}$$

and the mapping $T_{N_0}:Y_{N_0}\to X_{N_0}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{N_0}u\left(x\right) &= u\left(x\right)-h\left\{A_{N_0}u\left(x\right)+\lambda f\left(u\left(x\right)\right)\right\} \\ &= \left(1-h\right)u\left(x\right)+hJ\left(\|x\|_p\right)*u\left(x\right)-h\lambda f\left(u\left(x\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

for $h>0$. By Lemma 3, $J\left(\|x\|_p\right)*u\left(x\right)$ gives rise to a linear operator from X_N into itself, and since

$$f\left(u\left(x\right)\right)=\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_{N_0}^n}f\left(u\left(\boldsymbol{i}\right)\right)\Omega\left(p^{N_0}\left\|x-\boldsymbol{i}\right\|_p\right), \tag{6.7}$$

we have $T_{N_0}u\in X_{N_0}$ if $u\in Y_{N_0}$. Now the proof continues as in the proof of Theorem 2. □

Remark 6. *By using the fact that M is open compact, there exists I_M a subset of $G_{N_0}^n$ such that $M=\bigsqcup_{\boldsymbol{i}\in I_M}\boldsymbol{i}+\left(p^{N_0}\mathbb{Z}_p\right)^n$. By identifying $u\left(x\right)$ with the column vector $\left[u\left(\boldsymbol{i}\right)\right]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_{N_0}^n}$ and using (6.7), equation (6.5) takes the form*

$$A^{(N_0)}\left[u\left(\boldsymbol{i}\right)\right]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_{N_0}^n}-\lambda\left[f\left(u\left(\boldsymbol{i}\right)\right)\right]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_{N_0}^n}=0, \tag{6.8}$$

then, Theorem 3 asserts that equation (6.8) has a unique solution $\left[\widetilde{u}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_{N_0}^n}$ satisfying

$$\alpha^+\leq\widetilde{u}\left(\boldsymbol{i}\right)\leq 1 \text{ for } \boldsymbol{i}\in I_M \text{ and } -1\leq\widetilde{u}\left(\boldsymbol{i}\right)\leq\alpha^- \text{ for } \boldsymbol{i}\in G_{N_0}^n\smallsetminus I_M.$$

The proofs of Theorems 2, 3 use the same technique as the proof of [12, Theorem 2.1]. However, the hypotheses that we use are simpler than in [12, Theorem 2.1].

7. A Comparison Theorem

We consider $\mathbb{Q}_p^n \times \mathbb{R}$ as a topological space with the product topology. For $T > 0$, we set $\mathbb{D} = B_L^n \times (0,T)$. Notice that the topological closure of $\mathbb{D}$ is $\overline{\mathbb{D}} = B_L^n \times [0,T]$ and that the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$ is $\mathrm{Bd}\mathbb{D} = B_L^n \times \{0\} \cup B_L^n \times \{T\}$.

Theorem 4. *Let $u(x,t)$, $v(x,t) : \mathbb{Q}_p^n \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions such that the following conditions hold for $L \geq L_0(T)$ for some $L_0(T) \in \mathbb{N}$:*
(C1) $u(x,t)$, $v(x,t)$ are continuous functions of x in B_L^n and continuous differentiable functions of t on $[0,T]$;
(C2) with the notation $Pw(x,t) := \frac{\partial w(x,t)}{\partial t} - \left\{ J\left(\|x\|_p \right) * w(x,t) - w(x,t) \right\}$,

$$Pu(x,t) + \lambda f(u(x,t)) \geq Pv(x,t) + \lambda f(v(x,t)) \text{ for } (x,t) \in \mathbb{D};$$

(C3) $u(x,0) \geq v(x,0)$ for x in B_L^n.

Then under the hypotheses (C1)-(C3), it verifies that

$$u(x,t) \geq v(x,t) \text{ for } (x,t) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

In addition, if $\|u(\cdot,t) - v(\cdot,t)\|_\infty \leq C < \infty$, where C is a constant independent of t, there exists $L_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $L \geq L_0$,

$$u(x,t) \geq v(x,t) \text{ for } (x,t) \in B_L^n \times [0,\infty).$$

Proof. Set $w(x,t) := u(x,t) - v(x,t)$. Then $w(x,0) \geq 0$ for x in B_L^n and

$$Pw(x,t) + \lambda f'(w_0) w(x,t) \geq 0 \text{ for } (x,t) \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $w_0 = au + (1-a)v$, for some $a := a(x,t)$ satisfying $0 < a < 1$. By contradiction, assume that $w < 0$ at some point of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Set $z(x,t) = e^{-kt} w(x,t)$ with k a positive constant satisfying

$$\lambda f'(w_0) + k > 0 \text{ in } \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Then

$$Pw + \lambda f'(w_0) w = e^{kt} \{ Pz + z(\lambda f'(w_0) + k) \} \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{D}, \tag{7.1}$$

and $z(x,0) = w(x,0) \geq 0$ in B_L^n. Since z is negative at some point of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$,

$$m := \min_{(x,t) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} z(x,t) < 0 \text{ and } m = z(x_0,t_0) \text{ for some } (x_0,t_0) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Three cases occur: (i) $(x_0,t_0) \in B_L^n \times \{0\}$, (ii) $(x_0,t_0) \in B_L^n \times \{T\}$, (iii) $(x_0,t_0) \in \mathbb{D}$. The first case is not possible due to condition (C3). We now consider case (iii). By (7.1) we get

$$Pz(x_0,t_0) \geq -(\lambda f'(w_0) + k) z(x_0,t_0)$$

and since $(\lambda f'(w_0) + k) > 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$, we get $Pz(x_0,t_0) > 0$. A contradiction is derived from Claim 4, and thus this case is not possible.

Claim 4. If $(x_0,t_0) \in \mathbb{D}$, then $Pz(x_0,t_0) \leq 0$ for L sufficiently large.

The proof requires to consider two subcases: (i) supp $J \subset B_L^n$ for some $L \in \mathbb{N}$, (ii) supp $J \not\subseteq B_L^n$ for any $L \in \mathbb{N}$. In the first subcase, $\frac{\partial z(x_0,t_0)}{\partial t} \leq 0$ since $z(x_0,t)$ has a global minimum in $[0,T]$, and since

$$(J * z - z)(x_0,t_0) = \int_{B_L^n} J\left(\|x_0 - y\|_p \right) \{ z(y,t_0) - z(x_0,t_0) \} d^n y \geq 0,$$

hence $Pz(x_0,t_0)\leq 0$. In the second subcase, $\frac{\partial z(x_0,t_0)}{\partial t}\leq 0$ and

$$(J*z-z)(x_0,t_0)=\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} J\left(\|x_0-y\|_p\right)\{z(y,t_0)-z(x_0,t_0)\}\,d^ny$$

$$=\int\limits_{B_L^n} J\left(\|x_0-y\|_p\right)\{z(y,t_0)-z(x_0,t_0)\}\,d^ny+\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n\smallsetminus B_L^n} J\left(\|x_0-y\|_p\right)z(y,t_0)\,d^ny$$

$$-z(x_0,t_0)\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n\smallsetminus B_L^n} J\left(\|x_0-y\|_p\right)d^ny=:I_0+I_1+I_2.$$

Now, $I_0\geq 0$ since $z(y,t_0)\geq z(x_0,t_0)$ for $y\in B_L^n$, and $I_2>0$ since

$$\int\limits_{\|y\|_p>p^L} J\left(\|x_0-y\|_p\right)d^ny=\int\limits_{\|y\|_p>p^L} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^ny \text{ because } x_0\in B_L^n,$$

this last integral is strictly positive due to the fact that $\operatorname{supp} J\nsubseteq B_L^n$ for any $L\in\mathbb{N}$, and that J is a continuous function. On the other hand,

$$|I_1|\leq \|z(\cdot,t_0)\|_\infty\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n\smallsetminus B_L^n} J\left(\|x_0-y\|_p\right)d^ny\leq C(T)\int\limits_{\|y\|_p>p^L} J\left(\|y\|_p\right)d^ny,$$

since $J\left(\|y\|_p\right)\in L^1$, for L sufficiently large, $|I_1|<I_2$ and therefore $Pz(x_0,t_0)<0$ for $L\geq L_0(T)$ for some $L_0(T)\in\mathbb{N}$.

Finally, we consider the case (ii). In this case, since $Pz+z(\lambda f'(w_0)+k)\geq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$, by taking the limit as $(x,t)\to(x_0,T)$ we get $Pz(x_0,T)\geq 0$. The argument given for Claim 4 also works in the case (x_0,T) because $z(x_0,t)$ is differentiable at $t=T$. Therefore case (ii) is also impossible. □

Lemma 9. *Set $\overline{u}(x):=\widetilde{u}(x)+\epsilon e^{-\beta t}$, $\underline{u}(x):=\widetilde{u}(x)-\epsilon e^{-\beta t}$, where $\widetilde{u}(x)$ is the function given in Theorem 2, ϵ, $\beta>0$, and $x\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n$, $t\geq 0$. If ϵ, β are sufficiently small, then*

$$P\overline{u}(x)+\lambda f(\overline{u}(x))\geq 0 \quad \textit{for } x\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n, t\geq 0 \tag{7.2}$$

and

$$P\underline{u}(x)+\lambda f(\underline{u}(x))\leq 0 \quad \textit{for } x\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n, t\geq 0. \tag{7.3}$$

Proof. We show (7.2), the other inequality is established in the same way. We first note that

$$P\overline{u}(x)+\lambda f(\overline{u}(x))=-\beta\epsilon e^{-\beta t}+\lambda\left\{f\left(\widetilde{u}(x)+\epsilon e^{-\beta t}\right)-f(\widetilde{u}(x))\right\}.$$

By using that $\widetilde{u}(x)$ is bounded and the Taylor Expansion Theorem with $f\in C^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\left|f\left(\widetilde{u}(x)+\epsilon e^{-\beta t}\right)-f(\widetilde{u}(x))-\epsilon e^{-\beta t}f'(\widetilde{u}(x))\right|\leq C\epsilon^2e^{-2\beta t},$$

for some $C>0$. Finally, by (H4) and by choosing ϵ, β sufficiently small,

$$f\left(\widetilde{u}(x)+\epsilon e^{-\beta t}\right)\geq f(\widetilde{u}(x))+\epsilon e^{-\beta t}f'(\widetilde{u}(x))-C\epsilon^2e^{-2\beta t}\geq 0.$$

□

Remark 7. *If in Lemma 9, operator $Pw(x,t)$ is replaced by*

$$\frac{\partial w(x,t)}{\partial t}-\left\{J_N\left(\|x\|_p\right)*w(x,t)-j_N w(x,t)\right\}$$

and take $x\in B_L^n, t\geq 0$, with L sufficiently large, then (7.2)-(7.3) are valid for $x\in B_L^n, t\geq 0$.

Corollary 1. *Consider following Cauchy problem:*

$$\begin{cases}\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t}=J\left(\|x\|_p*u(x,t)\right)-u(x,t)-\lambda f(u(x,t))\\ u(x,0)=u_0(x),\end{cases}\tag{7.4}$$

with $(x,t)\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n\times[0,\infty)$. Let $u(x,t):\mathbb{Q}_p^n\times[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ be a bounded continuous function in x for any fixed $t\geq 0$, continuously differentiable in $t\in[0,\infty)$ for any fixed $x\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n$. Assume that $u(x,t)$ is a solution of Cauchy problem (7.4) with $u_0(x)$ a bounded function satisfying $\widetilde{u}(x)-\epsilon\leq u_0(x)\leq\widetilde{u}(x)+\epsilon$, with ϵ sufficiently small so that Lemma 9 holds. Then $\underline{u}(x,t)\leq u(x,t)\leq\overline{u}(x,t)$ for $(x,t)\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n\times[0,\infty)$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4 and Lemma 9. □

Corollary 2. *Consider following Cauchy problem:*

$$\begin{cases}\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t}=J_N\left(\|x\|_p*u(x,t)\right)-u(x,t)-\lambda f(u(x,t))\\ u(x,0)=u_0(x),\end{cases}\tag{7.5}$$

with $(x,t)\in B_N^n\times[0,\infty)$. Let $u(x,t):B_N^n\times[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function in x for any fixed $t\geq 0$, continuously differentiable in $t\in[0,\infty)$ for any fixed $x\in B_N^n$. Assume that N is sufficiently large and that $u(x,t)$ is a solution of Cauchy problem (7.5) with $u_0(x)\in X_N$ satisfying $\widetilde{u}(x)-\epsilon\leq u_0(x)\leq\widetilde{u}(x)+\epsilon$, with ϵ sufficiently small so that Lemma 9 and Remark 7 hold. Then $\underline{u}(x,t)\leq u(x,t)\leq\overline{u}(x,t)$ for $(x,t)\in B_N^n\times[0,\infty)$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4 and Remark 7. □

8. The Cauchy Problem

Theorem 5. *The Cauchy problem:*

$$\begin{cases}u(x,t)\in C\left([0,T],X_\infty\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)\right)\cap C^1\left([0,T],X_\infty\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)\right), & T>0\\ \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t}=J\left(\|x\|_p\right)*u(x,t)-u(x,t)-\lambda f(u(x,t)), & t\in[0,T]\\ u(x,0)=u_0(x),\end{cases}\tag{8.1}$$

with $u_0(x)\in X_\infty\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ satisfying $\widetilde{u}(x)-\epsilon\leq u_0(x)\leq\widetilde{u}(x)+\epsilon$, with λ, $\widetilde{u}(x)$ as in Theorem 2, and with ϵ sufficiently small so that Lemma 9 holds. Then initial value problem (8.1) has a unique solution satisfying $\underline{u}(x)\leq u(x,t)\leq\overline{u}(x)$ for $(x,t)\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n\times[0,\infty)$. In addition, $u(x,t)$ satisfies then $\lim_{t\to\infty}\|u(x,t)-\widetilde{u}(x)\|_\infty=0$.

Proof. We recall that $-Au(x,t)=J\left(\|x\|_p\right)*u(x,t)-u(x,t)$. By Lemma 4, A gives rise to a linear bounded operator from X_∞ onto itself. On the other hand, A is m-dissipative, i.e. there exists $\nu_0>0$ such that for all $h\in X_\infty$ there exists a solution $u\in X_\infty$ of $u-\nu_0 Au=h$, cf. [15, Proposition 2.2.6]. Indeed, consider the operator

$$\begin{array}{rccl}T: & X_\infty & \to & X_\infty\\ & u & \to & -\nu J*u+\nu u+h,\end{array}$$

with $\nu > 0$. By Lemma 4, T is well-defined and $|Tu - Tv| \leq 2\nu \|u - v\|_{\infty}$, thus if $0 < 2\nu < 1$, T is a contraction and by the Banach Fixed Point Theorem there exits a unique $u \in X_{\infty}$ such that $Tu = u$, which implies that A is an m-dissipative operator on X_{∞}. By the Hille-Yosida-Phillips Theorem, $-A$ is the generator of a contraction semigroup e^{-tA} on X_{∞}, see e.g. [15, Theorem 3.4.4]. Now, any solution of (8.1) is a solution of the following integral equation:

$$u(x,t) = e^{-tA}u_0(x) + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} f(u(x,s))\, ds \text{ for } t \in [0,T],\ T > 0, \tag{8.2}$$

cf. [15, Lemma 4.1.1]. By using that $\|u_0(x)\|_{\infty} \leq \|\widetilde{u}(x)\|_{\infty} + \epsilon \leq 1 + \epsilon =: M < \infty$, there exists a unique solution $u(x,t) \in C\left([0,T_M], X_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)\right)$ of (8.2), cf. [15, Proposition 4.3.3]. Then, two cases occur: (i) $T_M = \infty$, i.e. there exists a global solution for (8.1); (ii) $T_M < \infty$ and $\lim_{t \to T_M} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{\infty} = \infty$, cf. [15, Theorem 4.3.4], now by Corollary 1, $\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{\infty} \leq M$, therefore $T_M = \infty$. Finally, by using Corollary 1 and Lemma 9, $\lim_{t \to \infty} \|u(x,t) - \widetilde{u}(x)\|_{\infty} = 0$. □

By using the same reasoning we obtain the following finite dimensional version of Theorem 5:

Theorem 6. *The Cauchy problem:*

$$\begin{cases} u(x,t) \in C([0,T], X_N) \cap C^1([0,T], X_N), & T > 0 \\ \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = J_N\left(\|x\|_p\right) * u(x,t) - u(x,t) - \lambda f(u(x,t)), & x \in B_N^n, t \in [0,T] \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{8.3}$$

with N sufficiently large, $u_0(x) \in X_N$ satisfying $\widetilde{u}(x) - \epsilon \leq u_0(x) \leq \widetilde{u}(x) + \epsilon$, with λ, $\widetilde{u}(x)$ as in Theorem 3, and with ϵ sufficiently small so that Lemma 9 and Remark 7 hold. Then initial value problem (8.3) and has a unique solution satisfying $\underline{u}(x) \leq u(x,t) \leq \overline{u}(x)$ for $(x,t) \in B_N^n \times [0,\infty)$. In addition, $u(x,t)$ satisfies $\lim_{t \to \infty} \|u(x,t) - \widetilde{u}(x)\|_{\infty} = 0$.

Remark 8. *If in Theorems 5, the hypotheses on the initial conditions are changed to "with $u_0(x) \in X_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ satisfying $-1 \leq u_0(x) \leq 1$," then there exists a unique solution satisfying $-1 \leq u(x,t) \leq 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ and $t \geq 0$. A similar result is obtained if in Theorem 6, the hypotheses on the initial conditions are changed to "with N sufficiently large, $u_0(x) \in X_N$ satisfying $-1 \leq u_0(x) \leq 1$."*

9. Finite Approximations

In this section we study finite approximations to the solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} + Au(x,t) = -\lambda f(u(x,t)), & x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n, \quad t \geq 0 \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{9.1}$$

where function $f(u)$ satisfies all the conditions given in Section 6.

Lemma 10 (Condition B)**.** *For $N \geq 1$, $A_N \in \mathfrak{B}(X_N, X_N)$, in addition,*

$$\left\|e^{-A_N t}\right\| \leq 1 \text{ for } t \geq 0,\ N \geq 1.$$

Proof. We recall that $e^{-tA_N} = e^{-tA^{(N)}}$ for $t \geq 0$, since $e^{-tA^{(N)}} = e^{-tSA^{(N)}S^{-1}}$ for any inversible matrix S and $t \geq 0$. Hence

$$\left\|e^{-tA_N}\right\| = \left\|e^{-tA^{(N)}}\right\| \leq 1 \text{ for } t \geq 0$$

because by Theorem 1 (i), $P^{(N)}(t)=e^{-tA^{(N)}}$ satisfies $P^{(N)}(t)\,\mathbf{1}=\mathbf{1}$ for $t\geq 0$, where $\mathbf{1}$ the unit vector which is a vector having all its entries equal to one. With $P^{(N)}(t)=\left[P_{\mathbf{ij}}^{(N)}(t)\right]$, with $P_{\mathbf{ij}}^{(N)}(t)\geq 0$, and taking $\varphi\in X_N$ satisfying $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=1$, we have

$$\left\|P^{(N)}(t)\,\varphi\right\|_{\infty}=\max_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left|\sum_{\boldsymbol{j}}P_{\boldsymbol{ij}}^{(N)}(t)\varphi(\boldsymbol{j})\right|\leq\max_{\boldsymbol{i}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{j}}P_{\boldsymbol{ij}}^{(N)}(t)=1$$

for $t\geq 0$. □

Remark 9. *A is a linear bounded operator on X_{∞}, and since $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ is dense in X_{∞}, A is completely determined by its restriction to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. For an easy cross-referencing with* [29]*, we say 'A is densely defined linear operator in X_{∞}'.*

Lemma 11 (Condition C')**.** *A is densely defined linear operator in X_{∞}, there exists $\lambda_0\in(-\infty,0)\cap\rho(A)$ and*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\|A_NP_N\varphi-P_NA\varphi\|_{\infty}=\lim_{N\to\infty}\|E_NP_N\varphi-\varphi\|_{\infty}=0\ \textit{for all}\ \varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n).$$

Proof. The existence of λ_0 follow from Lemma 4. Take $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)\subset X_{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$, then $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}_{N_0}^{-N_0}\subset\mathcal{D}_N^{-N}$, for some $N_0\geq 1$ and for every $N\geq N_0$, in addition, $A\varphi=A_{N_0}\varphi$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}A\varphi&=-\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n}J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)\}\,d^ny\\&=-\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n}J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\left\{\Omega\left(p^{N_0}\|y\|_p\right)\varphi(y)-\Omega\left(p^{N_0}\|p\|_p\right)\varphi(x)\right\}d^ny\\&=-\int_{B_{N_0}^n}\Omega\left(p^{N_0}\|x-y\|_p\right)J\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\left\{\Omega\left(p^{N_0}\|y\|_p\right)\varphi(y)\right.\\&\left.-\Omega\left(p^{N_0}\|p\|_p\right)\varphi(x)\right\}d^ny=-\int_{B_{N_0}^n}J_{N_0}\left(\|x-y\|_p\right)\{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)\}\,d^ny=A_{N_0}\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

Now, since $P_N\mid_{X_{N_0}}=P_{N_0}$ for $N\geq N_0$, $P_NA\varphi=P_N(A_{N_0}\varphi)=P_{N_0}(A_{N_0}\varphi)=A_{N_0}\varphi=A\varphi$, and since $A_N\mid_{X_{N_0}}=A_{N_0}$ for $N\geq N_0$, $A_NP_N\varphi=A_N(P_{N_0}\varphi)=A_{N_0}(P_{N_0}\varphi)=A_{N_0}\varphi=A\varphi$, therefore $\|A_NP_N\varphi-P_NA\varphi\|_{\infty}=0$ for $N\geq N_0$. On the other hand, since $E_N\mid_{X_{N_0}}=E_{N_0}$ for $N\geq N_0$, $E_NP_N\varphi=E_N(P_{N_0}\varphi)=E_{N_0}(P_{N_0}\varphi)=E_{N_0}\varphi=\varphi$, which implies that $\|E_NP_N\varphi-\varphi\|_{\infty}=0$ for $N\geq N_0$. □

Corollary 3 (Condition C)**.** *Assume Conditions A and B, then A is densely defined linear operator in X_{∞}, and there exists $\lambda_0\in(-\infty,0)\cap\rho(A)$ such that for all φ in a dense subset of X_{∞},*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\left\|E_N(A_N-\lambda_0)^{-1}P_N\varphi-(A-\lambda_0)^{-1}\varphi\right\|_{\infty}=0.$$

Proof. See e.g. Lemma 5.4.1 in [29]. □

9.1. **Finite Approximations for p-adic reaction-ultradiffusion equations.** Our goal is to approximate the solution $u(x,t)$ of Cauchy Problem (9.1) in X_∞ using only that $u_0(x) \in X_\infty$ and $-1 \leq u_0(x) \leq 1$. The techniques for constructing such approximations are well-known, here we use reference [29, Section 5.4]. It is possible to approximate $u(x,t)$ without using any a priori information on the initial solution, however this requires to impose to the nonlinearity f to be globally Lipschitz, this last condition reduces a lot the potentials W to which we can apply our results.

The discretization of Cauchy problem (9.1) in the spaces X_N takes the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u_N(t) + A_N u_N(t) = -\lambda P_N f(E_N u_N(t)) \\ u_N(0) = P_N u_0. \end{cases} \tag{9.2}$$

By taking $P_N u_0(x) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} u_0(\boldsymbol{i})\,\Omega\left(p^N \|x-\boldsymbol{i}\|_p\right)$ and identifying $u_N(t)$ with the column vector $[u_N(\boldsymbol{i},t)]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}$, we can rewrite Cauchy problem (9.2) as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}[u_N(\boldsymbol{i},t)]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} + A^{(N)}[u_N(\boldsymbol{i},t)]_{I\in G_N^n} = -\lambda[f(u_N(\boldsymbol{i},t))]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} \\ [u_N(\boldsymbol{i},0)]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n} = [u_0(\boldsymbol{i})]_{\boldsymbol{i}\in G_N^n}, \end{cases} \tag{9.3}$$

cf. Lemma 6.

Theorem 7. *(i) $-A$ is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $\left\{e^{-tA}\right\}_{t\geq 0}$ on X_∞. Moreover, $\left\|e^{-tA}\right\| \leq 1$ for $t \geq 0$ and*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\sup_{t\geq 0} e^{bt}\left\|E_N e^{-A_N t}P_N\varphi - e^{-tA}\varphi\right\|_\infty = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi\in X_\infty,\ b\in(0,\infty).$$

(ii) Take $u_0(x) \in X$ with $-1 \leq u_0(x) \leq 1$. Let u be the solution of (9.1) and let u_N be the solution of (9.2). Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|E_N u_N(t) - u(t)\|_\infty = 0.$$

Proof. The first part follows from Conditions A, B, C by using Theorem 5.4.2 in [29]. The proof of the second part is based on the estimation of the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$-norm of the difference of a mild solution of (9.1) and a mild solution of (9.2). The required estimation follows from conditions A, B, C, D by using Theorem 5.4.7 in [29]. □

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank to Sergii Torba for many useful comments and discussions, which led to an improvement of this work. In addition, the author thanks Luis Gorostiza for telling him about reference [18].

References

[1] Albeverio S., Khrennikov A. Yu., Shelkovich V. M., Theory of p-adic distributions: linear and nonlinear models. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 370. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[2] Alberti Giovanni, Bellettini Giovanni, A non-local anisotropic model for phase transitions: asymptotic behaviour of rescaled energies, European J. Appl. Math. 9 (1998), no. 3, 261–284.

[3] Alberti Giovanni, Bellettini Giovanni, A nonlocal anisotropic model for phase transitions. I. The optimal profile problem, Math. Ann. 310 (1998), no. 3, 527–560.

[4] Andreu-Vaillo Fuensanta, Mazón José M., Rossi Julio D., Toledo-Melero J. Julián, Nonlocal diffusion problems. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 165. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Real Sociedad Matemática Española, Madrid, 2010.

[5] Avetisov V. A., Bikulov A. Kh., Zubarev, A. P., First passage time distribution and the number of returns for ultrametric random walks, J. Phys. A 42 (2009), no. 8, 085003, 18 pp.
[6] Avetisov V. A., Bikulov A. Kh., Osipov V. A., p-adic description of characteristic relaxation in complex systems, J. Phys. A 36 (2003), no. 15, 4239–4246.
[7] Avetisov V. A., Bikulov A. H., Kozyrev S. V., Osipov V. A., p-adic models of ultrametric diffusion constrained by hierarchical energy landscapes, J. Phys. A 35 (2002), no. 2, 177–189.
[8] Avetisov V. A., Bikulov A. Kh., Kozyrev S. V., Description of logarithmic relaxation by a model of a hierarchical random walk. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk 368 (1999), no. 2, 164–167.
[9] Avetisov V. A., Bikulov A. H., Kozyrev S. V.m Application of p-adic analysis to models of breaking of replica symmetry, J. Phys. A 32 (1999), no. 50, 8785–8791.
[10] Bachas Constantin P., Huberman B. A., Complexity and ultradiffusion, J. Phys. A 20 (1987), no. 14, 4995–5014.
[11] Bates Peter W., Fife, Paul C., Ren Xiaofeng, Wang Xuefeng, Traveling waves in a convolution model for phase transitions, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 138 (1997), no. 2, 105–136.
[12] Bates Peter W., Chmaj Adam, An integrodifferential model for phase transitions: stationary solutions in higher space dimensions, J. Statist. Phys. 95 (1999), no. 5-6, 1119–1139.
[13] Becker O. M., Karplus M., The topology of multidimensional protein energy surfaces: theory and application to peptide structure and kinetics, J. Chem.Phys. 106, 1495–1517 (1997).
[14] Bikulov Albert Kh., On solution properties of some types of p-adic kinetic equations of the form reaction-diffusion, p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl. 2 (2010), no. 3, 187–206.
[15] Cazenave Thierry, Haraux Alain, An introduction to semilinear evolution equations. Oxford University Press, 1998.
[16] Chacón-Cortes L. F., Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Non-local operators, non-Archimedean parabolic-type equations with variable coefficients and Markov processes, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 51 (2015), no. 2, 289–317.
[17] Chacón-Cortes L. F., Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Nonlocal operators, parabolic-type equations, and ultrametric random walks. J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013), no. 11, 113503, 17 pp. Erratum 55 (2014), no. 10, 109901, 1 pp.
[18] Dellacherie Claude, Martinez Servet, San Martin Jaime, Inverse M-matrices and ultrametric matrices. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2118. Springer, 2014.
[19] Dragovich B., Khrennikov A. Yu., Kozyrev S. V., Volovich, I. V., On p-adic mathematical physics, p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl. 1 (2009), no. 1, 1–17.
[20] Fife Paul C., Mathematical aspects of reacting and diffusing systems. Lecture Notes in Biomathematics, 28. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.
[21] Frauenfelder H, Chan S. S., Chan W. S. (eds), The Physics of Proteins. Springer-Verlag, 2010.
[22] Grindrod Peter, Patterns and waves. The theory and applications of reaction-diffusion equations. Oxford Applied Mathematics and Computing Science Series. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.
[23] Kochubei Anatoly N., Pseudo-differential equations and stochastics over non-Archimedean fields. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2001.
[24] Kozyrev S. V., Methods and Applications of Ultrametric and p-Adic Analysis: From Wavelet Theory to Biophysics, Sovrem. Probl. Mat., 12, Steklov Math. Inst., RAS, Moscow, 2008, 3–168.
[25] Khrennikov A.Yu., Kozyrev S.V., Replica symmetry breaking related to a general ultrametric space I: replica matrices and functionals, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, V.359 (2006), P.222-240.
[26] Khrennikov A.Yu., Kozyrev S.V., Replica symmetry breaking related to a general ultrametric space II: RSB solutions and the $n\to0$ limit, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, V.359 (2006), P.241-266.
[27] Khrennikov A.Yu., Kozyrev S.V., Replica symmetry breaking related to a general ultrametric space III: The case of general measure. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, V.378 (2007), N.2. P.283-298.
[28] Mézard Marc, Parisi Giorgio, Virasoro Miguel Angel, Spin glass theory and beyond. World Scientific, 1987.
[29] Miklavčič Milan, Applied functional analysis and partial differential equations. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1998.
[30] Ogielski Andrew T., Stein D. L., Dynamics on ultrametric spaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (1985), no. 15, 1634–1637.

[31] Rammal R., Toulouse G., Virasoro M. A., Ultrametricity for physicists, Rev. Modern Phys. 58 (1986), no. 3, 765–788.
[32] Rodríguez-Vega J. J., Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Taibleson operators, p-adic parabolic equations and ultrametric diffusion, Pacific J. Math. 237 (2008), no. 2, 327–347.
[33] Smoller Joel, Shock waves and reaction-diffusion equations. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
[34] Taibleson M. H., Fourier analysis on local fields. Princeton University Press, 1975.
[35] Torba S. M., Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Parabolic type equations and Markov stochastic processes on adeles, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 19 (2013), no. 4, 792–835.
[36] Torresblanca-Badillo Anselmo, Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Ultrametric Diffusion, Exponential Landscapes, and the First Passage Time Problem, arXiv:1511.08757.
[37] Ueyama Eizo, Hosoe Shigeyuki, Reaction-diffusion equation on a graph and phase transition on bistable media in Proceedinds of SICE Annual Conference, August 20-23, 2012, Akita University, Akita, Japan, pp. 1798-1801.
[38] Vladimirov V. S., Volovich I. V., Zelenov E. I., p-adic analysis and mathematical physics. World Scientific, 1994.
[39] Yin G. George, Zhang Qing, Continuous-time Markov chains and applications. A two-time-scale approach. 2nd ed. Springer 2013.
[40] Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., Parabolic equations and Markov processes over p-adic fields, Potential Anal. 28 (2008), no. 2, 185–200.
[41] Zúñiga-Galindo W. A., The non-Archimedean stochastic heat equation driven by Gaussian noise, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 21 (2015), no. 3, 600–627.
[42] Zwanzig Robert, Simple model of protein folding kinetics, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 92 (1995), no. 21, 9801–9804.

Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Departamento de Matemáticas, Unidad Querétaro, Libramiento Norponiente #2000, Fracc. Real de Juriquilla. Santiago de Querétaro, Qro. 76230, México.

E-mail address: wazuniga@math.cinvestav.edu.mx